



Industrial emissions policy under the IED (2010/75/EU)

**CEPI – BREF training day
Brussels, 23 September 2014**

Alex RADWAY
European Commission, DG Environment
Air & Industrial Emissions (Unit ENV C.3)

1



To cover:

- A. Why we have the IED*
- B. Expected implementation actions*
- C. Desired outcomes*

2

A. Why we have the IED

1. *Perceived shortcomings of the IPPC Directive (96/61/EC)*

Communications COM(2003)354 final and COM(2007)843 final

- *inadequate and inconsistent application of BAT*



a) failure to deliver the expected environmental improvements

b) uneven playing field for operators

2. *IED as a response to those shortcomings; viz articles:*

§14(3) - BAT conclusions = the reference for permit conditions

§15(3) - ELVs ≤ BAT-AELS

§21 - update permits (& comply) within 4 years of published BAT

3. *Contribution to the bigger picture*

- *Environment Action Programmes (EAP)*
- *7th EAP (Decision 1386/2013/EU) to 2020*
 - *high uptake of BAT by industry*
 - *Promote emerging innovations / resource efficiency*

3

To cover:

A. Why we have the IED

B. Expected implementation actions

C. Desired outcomes

4



B. Expected implementation actions (1 of 2)

1. *Transposition by Member State*

- *Fully transpose the IED into national legislation (by 7/1/13)*

2. *Implementing measures:*

- a) *For those IED provisions that did not previously exist e.g.*
 - *soil baseline report*
 - *reporting of monitoring data*
 - *on-line publication of permits and other information*
 - *inspection plans/programmes*
- b) *Rectify any existing deficiencies in the implementation of predecessor directives (IPPC, WID, LCP, SED)*

5



B. Expected implementation actions (2 of 2)

3. *Reconsider, and update, permit conditions*

- *within 4-years of publication of BAT conclusions (don't leave to last day)*
 - *importance of having planning in place*
 - *follow the preparation of BATC – 5 so far (IS, GLS, CLM, TAN, CAK)*
- *Can be >1 BAT conclusion 'relating to the main activity' → phased reviews*

4. *Use BATC as 'the reference' for setting permit conditions*

- *sound reasons for any deviation (based on a repeatable methodology)*

5. *Set ELVs that don't exceed BAT-AELs*

- *flexibility in 15(3)b (different values / time periods / reference conditions)*

6. *Derogations under Article 15(4)*

- *only allows derogation from BAT-AELs*
- *exception not the norm (i.e. sparing use)*
- *fully justified according to a repeatable methodology*
- *declining numbers of derogations over time*

6

To cover:

- A. Why we have the IED*
- B. Expected implementation actions*
- C. Desired outcomes*

C. Desired outcomes

- 1. **Reduced emissions** – both locally and nationally*
- 2. **Level playing field for competition across Europe** - or at least less uneven*
- 3. **Industry revitalised** - innovative ideas on reducing environmental impact and improving resource efficiency*
- 4. **Emission prevention** - a move from the back-end abatement of emissions, to process-integrated controls at source*
- 5. **Improved identification of BAT** - feedback loop of high quality information to subsequent BREF reviews*



Further information

DG ENV Industrial Emissions

<http://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/pollutants/stationary/index.htm>

- Transposition score-card <http://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/pollutants/stationary/ied/transposition.htm>
- FAQ <http://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/pollutants/stationary/ied/faq.htm>

CIRCABC

<https://circabc.europa.eu/faces/jsp/extension/wai/navigation/container.jspx>

Alex Radway alex.radway@ec.europa.eu +32 (0)229 53651
or ENV-IED-INFO@ec.europa.eu